



BUS-FP3021

u04a1 - Case Law Analysis: Business Entities

Learner: Bryan , Nickerson

OVERALL COMMENTS

Bryan,

This is a good case but you struggle to explain it clearly. Your literacy skills are not sufficient enough for me to understand the details of the case. I have used the underline tool in the grading window to highlight some of the most difficult areas and incorrect words. You also have incorrectly identified the court the case was heard in by name it: "the US Incomprable Court" there is no such court in this country, or in any other country. Further you write that a subpoena was issued to the defendant by the: "Government Fabulous Jury". Again there is no such legal entity in this country. I found it extremely difficult to sift through the information to be able to understand the facts, context and ruling in the case. Additionally you state "the feeling of the court" that is incorrect, courts issue rulings not feelings. Base on this I strongly suggest you visit the writing center for additional help with the assessments in this course as well as contact your tutor or coach for additional assistance in preparing the next attempt.

Cindy

RUBRICS

CRITERIA 1

Exhibit information literacy skills as applied to business law.

COMPETENCY

Develop information literacy skills as applied to business law.

NON_PERFORMANCE: Does not exhibit any information literacy skills as applied to business law.

BASIC:

Exhibits inconsistent information literacy skills as applied to business law, or uses sources of questionable credibility.

PROFICIENT: Exhibits information literacy skills as applied to business law.

DISTINGUISHED:

Exhibits information literacy skills as applied to business law, and supports statements with legal research from credible legal research databases and online resources.

Comments:

See comments above.

CRITERIA 2

Summarize the facts and ruling of a legal case.

COMPETENCY

Articulate the importance, context, purpose, and relevance of law in a business environment.

NON_PERFORMANCE: Does not summarize the facts and ruling of a legal case.

BASIC: Lists facts of the ruling, but omits key elements or does not summarize the ruling.

PROFICIENT: Summarizes the facts and ruling of a legal case.

DISTINGUISHED:

Summarizes the facts and ruling of a legal case, and clearly explains the business context and relevance.

Comments:

See comments above.

CRITERIA 3

Analyze how a legal case could impact businesses.

COMPETENCY

Evaluate legal options to create a business entity.

NON_PERFORMANCE: Does not analyze how a legal case could impact businesses.

BASIC:

Discusses a legal case in the context of business, but does not explain the relationship or significance to businesses.

PROFICIENT: Analyzes how a legal case could impact businesses.

DISTINGUISHED:

Analyzes how a legal case could impact businesses, including both positive and negative impacts.

Comments:

See comments above.

CRITERIA 4

Explain how a legal case could impact a specific organization.

COMPETENCY

Evaluate legal options to create a business entity.

NON_PERFORMANCE: Does not explain how a legal case could impact a specific organization.

BASIC:

Discusses a legal case in the context of a specific organization, but does not explain the relationship or significance to a specific organization.

PROFICIENT: Explains how a legal case could impact a specific organization.

DISTINGUISHED:

Explains how a legal case could impact a specific organization such that an executive team will understand the implications of the legal decision.

Comments:

See comments above.