

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 471 528

EA 032 200

AUTHOR Anderson, Wendell
TITLE School Dress Codes and Uniform Policies.
INSTITUTION ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, Eugene, OR.
SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED),
Washington, DC.
PUB DATE 2002-00-00
NOTE 22p.; Theme issue. Published annually.
CONTRACT ED-99-CO-0011
AVAILABLE FROM ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, 5207 University
of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-5207. Tel: 541-346-5044; Tel:
800-438-8841 (Toll Free); Fax: 541-346-2334; Web site:
<http://eric.uoregon.edu>. For full text:
http://eric.uoregon.edu/pdf/policy_reports/policy%20report%20dress%20code.pdf.
PUB TYPE Collected Works - Serials (022) -- Information Analyses (070)
-- ERIC Publications (071)
JOURNAL CIT Policy Report; n4 Fall 2002
EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Civil Liberties; Clothing; *Dress Codes; Educational
Environment; Elementary Secondary Education; *School Policy;
School Security; *School Uniforms; *Student Attitudes;
Student Reaction; *Student Rights

ABSTRACT

Opinions abound on what students should wear to class. Some see student dress as a safety issue; others see it as a student-rights issue. The issue of dress codes and uniform policies has been tackled in the classroom, the boardroom, and the courtroom. This Policy Report examines the whole fabric of the debate on dress codes and uniform policies through a collection of short reports; policy recommendations; and opinions by administrators, teachers, students, and civil libertarians. Topics of reports include background and history of dress codes and uniform policies in the United States, focusing on the past 15 years; arguments for and arguments against dress codes and uniforms; anecdotes from schools that have implemented dress codes or uniform policies; a recap of research findings on the effects of dress codes and uniform policies; a roundup of legal actions and important court decisions regarding dress codes and uniform policies; and a state-by-state listing of policies on student dress. Guidelines for developing, implementing, and enforcing school dress codes and school-uniform policies are offered from a variety of sources. Also included in the report are a sample dress code and 51 references. (WFA)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

**School Dress Codes and Uniform Policies
Policy Report; n4 Fall 2002**

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

POLICY REPORT

Number 4

REPORTING ON POLICY ISSUES IN K-12 EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT

Fall 2002

INSIDE

Why Dress Codes and Why Now? 5

Facing Down the "Fashion Police" 7

Research Findings: Uncertain Results 9

Dress Codes and Case Law 11

Dress Codes and School-Uniform Policies, State by State 13

Guiding Principles When Drafting a Dress-Code Policy 15

Conclusion 18

Bibliography 19

SIDEBARS

Principals Speak Out 3

Advantages of a Standard Dress Code ... 5

Disadvantages of a Standard Dress Code ... 7

Recommendations for Researchers 9

Some Practical Advice 11

Factors Affecting Dress-Code Challenges 12

Sample Dress Code 16

School Dress Codes and Uniform Policies

by Wendell Anderson

Opinions abound on what students should wear to class. But it's not only the fashion mavens who express strong feelings about clothing. School-board members, school administrators, teachers, parents, and students also enter the debate.

Some people believe that requiring students in school settings to conform to a dress code improves student behavior, reduces differences among socioeconomic levels, and enhances students' self-confidence.

Others say that policies stipulating dress codes or requiring school uniforms infringe upon students' First Amendment rights, stifle individuality, and impose unnecessary means of control.

The argument over school dress codes and school-uniform policies continues to rage in the meeting rooms, administrative offices, and classrooms of public schools throughout the country. Two fundamental questions fan the fires of debate:

- Are restrictive dress codes a sound idea in a society that, theoretically at least, celebrates diversity over uniformity?
- Do dress codes and school-uniform policies decrease school violence and increase performance?

Divided Camps

Although the research data don't show an absolutely clear link between dress and students' behavior or performance, anecdotal evidence—and certainly many people's perceptions—generally support some type of dress code in elementary, middle, and high schools, according to one camp's view.

The idea of school uniforms also appeals to many parents and teachers, especially in elementary and middle schools. Uniforms "are seen as a concrete and visible means of restoring order to the classrooms. Uniforms conjure up visions of parochial schools, which are perceived as safe, secure, and orderly learning environments" (*Education Week* 2001).

VIEWPOINT

"This [dress code] has been something we've been working on for five years now. We didn't have a big problem before. We're just being more rigid about it."

—Patricia Pitt, assistant principal, Old Mill Senior High School, Millersville, Maryland

Wendell Anderson is a research analyst and writer for the ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management • University of Oregon

Policy Report

Number 4, Fall 2002

Reporting on Policy Issues in K-12
Educational Management

**ERIC Clearinghouse
on Educational Management**

Professor and Director: Philip K. Piele
Editorial Director: Stuart C. Smith
Author: Wendell Anderson
Designer: Leeann August

**CLEARINGHOUSE
NATIONAL ADVISORY BOARD**

Anne L. Bryant, Executive Director,
National School Boards Association

Vincent L. Ferrandino, Executive
Director, National Association of
Elementary School Principals

Patrick B. Forsyth, Vice-President,
Division A, American Educational
Research Association

Paul Houston, Executive Director,
American Association of School
Administrators

Philip K. Piele (Chair), Professor and
Director, ERIC Clearinghouse on
Educational Management,
University of Oregon

Ted Sanders, President, Education
Commission of the States

Gerald Tirozzi, Executive Director,
National Association of Secondary
School Principals

Michelle Young, Executive Director,
University Council for Educational
Administration

Additional copies of this publication
will be available while supplies last.
Copies are \$3.00.

Address orders to:

Publications Department
ERIC/CEM

5207 University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403-5207

Telephone (541) 346-5044

(800) 438-8841

Fax (541) 346-2334

This report is in the public domain and
may be freely copied and reprinted in
whole or in part with proper credit.
The full text is also available at

eric.uoregon.edu

This publication was prepared in part with
funding from the Office of Educational
Research and Improvement, U.S.
Department of Education, under contract
no. ED-99-CO-0011. The opinions
expressed in this report do not necessarily
reflect the positions or policies of the
Department of Education.

The University of Oregon is an equal
opportunity, affirmative action institution
committed to cultural diversity.

In the other camp are some parents, civil libertarians, and students, particularly older ones, who oppose dress codes in general and uniform policies in particular. "Critics point to the fact that uniform requirements cramp students' freedom of expression and amount to nothing more than a band-aid [*sic*] solution to the illness that ails our schools. They also point to the financial burden uniforms put on lower-income families" (Wills 2001).

This Policy Report examines the issue of school dress codes and uniform policies from a variety of perspectives. The section below presents a brief history of dress codes and uniforms, focusing on the last fifteen years. On pages that follow, the report examines the motivations behind establishing dress codes in light of recent events and presents arguments for and arguments against dress codes and uniforms.

Because so many legal issues have been raised over dress codes and uniforms, this report also examines some of the legal actions and important court decisions regarding restrictive dress codes and uniforms. And finally, the report offers suggestions and guidelines from a variety of sources for developing, implementing, and enforcing

school dress codes and school-uniform policies.

Fashion Show: A Brief History of Dress in Schools

The presumption, variously expressed, that dress affects behavior and performance is, of course, not a new one. "Clothes make the man." "The apparel oft proclaims the man." "Good clothes open all doors." "Beware of all enterprises that require new clothes."

The dictum "Dress right, act right" was heard often in schools in the 1950s and '60s during campaigns to curb "juvenile delinquency." In the 1950s, many school dress codes prohibited girls from wearing slacks. In the 1960s, many school administrators stipulated the length of girls' skirts. Blue jeans, motorcycle boots, and black leather jackets were considered dangerous attire on boys and linked to gangs.

In the 1980s, an effort to thwart growing gang activity in schools led school officials to reexamine their schools' dress codes and consider policies requiring uniforms. Restrictive dress codes were introduced in many secondary schools with the intent of prohibiting gang attire. "These efforts have taken on a sense of considerable urgency in areas where gang activity threatens the safety of the school environment. Though gang members are known to intimidate others in various ways, their clothes have been a primary form of gang member identification" (Lane and others 1996).

Public school districts and individual schools have long established dress codes proscribing certain clothing. The first public school known to have adopted uniforms was Cherry Hill Elementary in Baltimore, Maryland, in 1987. In 1994, Long Beach (CA) Unified School District (LBUSD) was the first school district to adopt a districtwide uniform dress code policy.

The idea of dress codes and uniforms gained official sanction when President Clinton endorsed the idea of public-school uniforms in his 1996 State of the Union Address. Following Clinton's direction, the U.S. Department of Education mailed *A Manual of School Uniforms* to all 16,000 school districts in the United States.

VIEWPOINT

“We don't have mandatory uniforms, but we do have a very strict dress code that includes all collared shirts that are to be tucked in. But since the only shorts we allow are uniform shorts, a lot of our students end up wearing at least one part of the uniform on a regular basis.”

—Rod Federwisch, principal, Anna Borba School, Chino, California

“I think [the dress code] is starting to lean more to girls because they have more clothing. To a certain extent, girls show more skin.”

—John Russell, student, Old Mill Senior High School, Millersville, Maryland

With guidelines in hand, school boards and administrators began to develop dress codes and uniform policies.

- By 1999, 72 percent of New York City’s 675 elementary schools had a standardized dress code.
- In 2000, the Philadelphia School Board unanimously adopted a districtwide policy requiring some type of uniform.
- By 2000, in Miami, 60 percent of the public schools required uniforms; in Chicago, 80 percent.
- By 2000, 30 percent of the public schools in San Francisco, 50 percent of the schools in Cincinnati, 65 percent in Boston, 85 percent in Cleveland, and 95 percent in New Orleans had school-uniform programs.
- Also by 2000, 37 state legislatures, including those in California, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Louisiana, Maryland, New York, Tennessee, Utah, and Virginia, had enacted legislation empowering local districts to set their own uniform policies. (Morris and Wells 2000)

It’s not clear how many districts and schools now enforce a dress code or uniform policy. But the trend toward proscribing and prescribing what students wear to class continues to grow, along with the debate.

Principals Speak Out

In 1999, three researchers set out to gather opinions on dress codes from school principals. They polled 240 principals chosen randomly from a national directory. Their sample was equally divided among principals of elementary, middle, and high schools. More than 60 percent of the principals responded; some even sent copies of their dress codes for the researchers to analyze. Following are some of the results of the survey:

- About 85 percent of the principals believed that some sort of dress code was needed at their school.
- More than half the principals said their schools had formally adopted a dress code.
- Most principals believed that dress codes improve student behavior, reduce peer sexual harassment, prepare students for the work world, and are worth the effort it takes to enforce them.
- Middle-school principals expressed the strongest support for mandatory uniforms.
- High-school principals stated the strongest support for dress codes but were less enthusiastic about uniforms.
- Principals in rural areas showed greater support for dress codes than principals in suburban and urban schools.
- Urban principals showed greater support for uniforms, followed by suburban and rural principals.

SOURCE: DeMitchell, Fossey, and Cobb (2000)

Codes and Policies: Dressing Up

Dress codes and uniform policies are not the same. Simply stated, dress codes state what *must not* be worn; uniform policies state what *must* be worn. The distinction is important, particularly in light of legal challenges. For example, dress codes that prohibit the wearing of clothing or symbols linked to gangs have been traditionally upheld by the courts, whereas uniform policies

are sometimes viewed as violations of students’ rights.

But uniform policies adopted to minimize gang-related violence are often viewed as issues of safety and upheld by the courts. (See “Dress Codes and Case Law” in this Policy Report.) The debate over what to wear at school has many levels and subplots.

Officials in a number of districts and schools in recent years have tempered their approaches by enacting

“When parents come to enroll their children, we tell them right away that we’re a uniform school, and they say, ‘We know, we’re happy about it.’ Teachers and parents love the fact that we have uniforms. The children . . . Well, that’s a different story.”

—DeLores Wilson, principal, Poplar Halls Elementary, Norfolk, Virginia

stricter dress codes rather than forcing the wearing of uniforms. School officials in Fayette County, suburban Atlanta, Georgia, for instance, decided in favor of a tighter dress code in 1999 in response to community demands (White 2000).

The Marple Newtown School District in Newtown Square, Pennsylvania, chose a dress code rather than uniforms. The fairly typical code prohibits the wearing of tube tops, halter tops, cutoff shorts, short shorts, and clothes that promote alcohol or drugs. “Going from a loose dress code to school uniforms seemed like a knee-jerk reaction,” said Raj Chopra, superintendent of the suburban Philadelphia school system. “It seems like an easy solution, but our goal was to get students to dress for success” (in White 2000).

But the solution remains far from easy. There are many layers to the debate.

Adapting to Changing Fashions

Some people claim that dress codes focus too much on girls’ fashions because girls’ fashions change more often than boys’ do. In a much publicized “fashion show” in September 2001 at Old Mill Senior High School in Millersville, Maryland, the outfit worn by senior Katrina Howard turned heads with her “inappropriate” attire: jeans cut with horizontal vents from waist to ankles and a midriff-baring one-strap halter top (Bowman and Bushweller 2001).

The third annual Old Mill Fashion Show was an opportunity for adminis-

trators to display acceptable and unacceptable student dress as described in the school’s dress code. Girls’ fashions attracted the most attention. At the time, skin was in. But the Old Mill Senior High School dress code prohibited the wearing of tops and jeans that reveal too much skin. For boys, Old Mill’s dress code prohibited pants sagging to reveal underwear, cutoff T-shirts, tight skullcaps, and various techno-toys such as cell phones.

Part of the problem schools face with implementing dress codes is that youth fashions change frequently and radically. It’s difficult for administrators to keep up; therefore, many schools adopt general dress codes. John Brucato, principal of Milford High School in Milford, Massachusetts, described to CNN his school’s dress code, which seems to encapsulate the principles in many dress codes:

We ask our students to dress and groom themselves as individuals with a sense of responsibility and self-respect. So, it’s not a matter of what you must wear; it’s more of a matter of what we don’t feel is appropriate. Specifically, if it becomes disruptive, offensive, threatening, or provocative to others, is vulgar, displays tobacco or alcohol advertising, profanity, racial slurs, has disruptive images of gang-related symbols. (Brucato in CNN.com 2001a)

In some respects, school-uniform policies are easier to maintain than dress codes. Part of the acceptance of uniforms has to do with style. The style of today’s uniforms is more relaxed to suit the times. The traditional blazer,

white blouse, plaid skirt for girls and dark slacks, white shirt, school tie for boys are still seen. But more modern styles such as white T-shirts with blue jeans, denim shirts or skirts, and khaki pants with cargo pockets are not uncommon. French Toast, the largest manufacturer of school uniforms, features more than 4,000 uniform items.

After President Clinton called for uniforms in his 1996 speech, many schools answered the call. And manufacturers and retailers also jumped on the bandwagon. In 1999, American families spent some \$1.5 billion on uniforms (Marchant 1999). Major retailers such as Sears and Kmart stock uniforms. About two-thirds of uniforms are sold during the annual “back-to-school” season (BlueSuitMom.com 2000).

But while the debate over dress codes and school uniforms rages, there is one point almost everyone agrees on: Student dress does not cause or will not cure all the ills facing our schools. Implementing a dress code or uniform policy should be only one of several changes designed to improve standards in schools, said Jay Goldman, editor of *School Administrator*. A dress code “as part of a wider array of policies and practices is probably a very good thing,” he said. “If done as a supposed quick fix, it is a terrible idea. Nothing is a quick fix in education” (Goldman in Marchant 1999).

PR

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Why Dress Codes and Why Now?

Arguments for dress codes and uniforms policies stress school safety.

If you were to ask administrators and teachers to prioritize a list of concerns, what children wear to school would likely be ranked low, below funding, school violence, and even what color to paint the walls of the staff lounge. So why all the fuss about dress codes and uniform policies?

Clearly, as Lumsden (2001) pointed out, it is naive to think of a dress code or uniform policy as the sole solution to the problems that plague schools. Nevertheless, a well-conceived dress code coupled with other appropriate interventions could have a positive impact on a school's social environment.

Supporters of dress codes or uniform policies have compiled a long list of the perceived advantages of standardized dress in the classroom. The list can be shortened to four basic benefits:

1. Enhanced school safety
2. Improved learning climate
3. Higher self-esteem for students
4. Less stress on the family

Safety First

A sincere concern with safety has been the overriding impetus toward the implementation of dress codes and uniform policies. Many educators, and also people in the community, firmly believe that if everyone in school dresses alike, or at least dresses simi-

larly, there will be less violence. There is a general perception that most students will behave the way they are allowed to dress.

Supporters of regulated dress maintain there is a definite connection between clothing styles and school violence. For many students, an image—or sometimes stigma—is attached to certain styles, most notably gang-style clothing. Students have become targets of intentional or unintentional violence simply for wearing a particular type of shirt or cap or color combination affiliated with a particular gang (King 1998).

A popular style, especially with boys, is baggy pants and oversized shirts. This style is closely associated with inner-city gang members, who wear the loose-fitting clothes to better hide weapons and drugs, which can also be carried into schools. "Add to this the finding that one in three youth reports easy access to handguns, and the concern for school safety becomes especially crucial" (King 1998).

Curbing gang-related problems was the primary goal of the Long Beach (CA) Unified School District (LBUSD) when it established its pioneering districtwide uniform policy in 1994.

"Gangsta" is not the only style linked to violence. After the Columbine shootings, a certain stigma was attached to students wearing black trench coats, all black clothing, or black lipstick and eye shadow. Many school dress codes banned black clothing and makeup. Ronald Stephens, executive director of the National School Safety Center, stated, "In the wake of school shootings, communities and schools are much more willing to embrace uniforms as well as a number of other strategies to enhance student safety" (in White 2000).

Advantages of a Standard Dress Code

In 2001, the Clarksville-Montgomery County (TN) School System surveyed the local community concerning dress-code issues. Results of the survey revealed the following perceived advantages:

- Diminishes exclusion of students based on what they are wearing.
- Places stronger focus on academic performance.
- Decreases opportunity for showing gang affiliation or hiding weapons.
- Reduces family tension; saves time in the morning.
- Decreases participation in violent activity.
- Leads to higher academic performance.
- Creates atmosphere of teamwork and pride in personal appearance and school.
- Promotes safety; makes it easier to identify strangers in schools.
- Increases self-esteem and reduces peer pressure.
- Most cost effective to parents in the long run.
- Reduces absenteeism.
- Puts students on a more common ground.
- Reduces discrepancies in administering dress-code justice.

SOURCE: Adapted from Clarksville-Montgomery County (TN) School System

VIEWPOINT

"I think you're going to see an increased emphasis on more standardized dress escalating as a result of Littleton."

—Paul Houston, executive director, American Association of School Administrators

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

7

“Uniforms have been a great equalizer for our students and have been wonderful both in terms of unity and discipline within the school and cost effectiveness for the parents.”

—Connie Jo Gamble, principal,
Loxley Elementary, Loxley,
Alabama

Even seemingly ordinary fashions can invite violence. Children have been robbed of their expensive shoes and killed for simply wearing the wrong jacket:

Children’s hunger to be fashionable brings another dimension to the connection between clothing styles and school violence. Children may envy other children’s clothing and lack the financial resources to purchase similar styles. Subsequently, children have been violently injured or even murdered for their designer clothes, sneakers, or professional sport-team paraphernalia. School uniforms may reduce these occurrences. (King 1998)

Furthermore, requiring students to dress alike could send the message that they are all on the same team. Viewing their fellow students as “teammates,” they should be less likely to commit acts of bullying or other kinds of violence against one another.

Enhanced Performance

Students who feel safe, secure, and free from threats of violence naturally perform better academically. Enhancing academic performance is another argument in favor of adopting dress codes or uniform policies.

Proponents believe that dress codes in general and uniforms in particular help students focus on their schoolwork, instead of on what they and others are wearing.

Dress codes prohibit the wearing of clothing that could be disruptive. As the director of a charter school said, “It doesn’t bother me to say that we ban bare midriffs in our school not because we think they are vulgar or offensive.... We ban bare midriffs because we see them as unwelcome and unnecessary distractions that can divert too much student attention from the serious intellectual work we’re here to do together” (Northrop 2002).

Uniforms set the tone for a proper attitude toward work. Uniforms remind students that they are in school to learn, not to make a fashion statement.

Other potential benefits attributed to uniforms that help enhance performance include improved discipline, increased respect for teachers, increased school attendance, fewer distractions, and improved classroom behavior (Lumsden 2001).

Peer Pressure

Dressing for success is a practice witnessed not only in the office but also in the classroom. A flair for fashion has always paid big dividends. Clothes do make the man, or woman. “Middle school students can sometimes be cruel. If a child does not have the ‘right’ brand or style of clothing, students can make life very difficult for that student” (Kahl 1997).

By removing the status that clothing labels accord some children, a uniform becomes a great equalizer. A uniform also conceals the income of a child’s family, thus eliminating another mark of distinction or shame. An attractive uniform promotes school spirit and good self-image, just as athletic team uniforms promote spirit and unity. By eliminating the preoccupation of status brought about by dress, proponents say, students can find more productive and creative outlets to express their individualism.

No child should become a Barbie or Ken doll to dress and undress according to the style of the month. With children, we really ought to hold on to some conservative old certainties—for example, the notion that how you dress is ultimately far less important than what and how you think. That’s one message school systems send when they require school uniforms. (Northrop 2002)

“Many families in our school really support our switch to uniforms. They say it’s easier and less expensive, and that the children look nicer. It also takes the attention off what someone else is wearing and solves the question, ‘What am I going to wear today?’”

—Nancy Dickerson, principal,
Mattahunt Elementary, Boston,
Massachusetts

Family Values

When dress codes or uniform policies are instituted, many families realize an immediate benefit: not having to buy so many expensive clothes for their fashion-conscious children. Some comparisons show that the cost of uniforms is significantly less than the cost of the latest fashions.

Many parents also welcome dress codes and uniforms because they bring added peace to the home. If what their children wear to school is predetermined, it becomes one less battle to fight in the morning.

Kristi Kahl, who was a middle-school teacher during the first year of the implementation of the uniform policy at Long Beach USD, spoke of another hidden benefit to the family, as well as to the school:

Do uniforms generate parent participation? I believe they have. It is certainly something that almost everyone loves to talk about (whether they are pro or con)! I think that our teachers and site administrators have had the opportunity to meet parents who, without questions about the uniforms, might not have contacted the school. This allows conversations about other deep issues to develop. (Kahl 1997)

PR

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

8

Facing Down the “Fashion Police”

Students who oppose dress codes and uniform policies have support among educators and civil libertarians willing to take their case to court. Together they decry the spread of “fashizm.”

A demonstration by students of Brookfield East High School in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, on a warm April morning served as a microcosm of the broad issues of and opposition to dress codes.

As reported by Gehl (2002), twenty-five students, mostly girls, paraded in front of the school in protest of the administration’s recent stepped-up enforcement of its dress code. The code prohibited, among other items, clothing that revealed too much skin. Current fashion trends (skin was in) and a hot spell brought out some of the banned clothing, notably low-cut pants and thin-strapped tank tops that revealed bare midriffs. The administration’s reactions were immediate and predictable: Students were sent home to change into more “appropriate” attire and threatened with suspension.

At the demonstration, one senior girl claimed the school administration overreacted to the problem of exposed stomachs and backs, which were in fashion that season. She further stated that students felt threatened by the rigid enforcement of the dress code and the consequences of violating it (Gehl 2002).

Administrators asserted that the purpose of the dress code was to limit distractions and disruptions in the school setting. Many students did not see the need for the new dress policy and took issue with the motives behind it.

“Being hot in our school is way more distracting than a spaghetti-strap tank top,” said one senior girl. “I want to see a statistic that shows this policy has helped grades go up,” said another (Gehl 2002).

These students and their actions and comments captured the essence of opposition to dress codes and uniform policies, which revolves around two basic points:

1. Dress codes and uniform policies are shallow solutions to deeper problems.
2. Dress codes and uniform policies deprive students of fundamental freedoms.

Problems More Than Skin Deep

Critics claim that strict dress codes and uniforms offer a simplistic approach to preventing school violence and other problems. “While most parents and teachers seek to ensure the safety and security of their school children, some believe adopting a mandatory school uniform policy is not the appropriate method for ensuring such safety” (King 1998).

Older students, the most outspoken challengers to dress codes and uniforms, have received support from the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU). As far back as March 1996—only two months after President Clinton expressed support for uniform policies, which prompted the experiments of recent years—Loren Siegel, director of the public education department of the ACLU, wrote:

If policy makers are serious about finding solutions to the problem of school violence, maybe they should ask the real experts: the students themselves. The ACLU recently conducted a series of focus groups and discussions with high school students and asked them what they thought would help. Uniforms didn’t even make it onto the list. Their suggestions:

1. Since school violence mirrors that of society at large, schools should

Disadvantages of a Standard Dress Code

In 2001, the Clarksville-Montgomery County (TN) School System surveyed the local community concerning dress-code issues. Results of the survey revealed the following perceived disadvantages:

- Requires an initial expense of purchasing the clothes.
- Leads to inconsistent enforcement of the dress code in schools systemwide.
- Causes problems with noncompliance.
- Infringes on freedom of choice.
- Hurts families who may not be able to afford it.
- Causes everyone to look the same.
- Becomes difficult to enforce in areas that are extremely transient.
- May contribute to negative behavior such as rebelliousness.

SOURCE: Adapted from Clarksville-Montgomery County (TN) School System

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

VIEWPOINT

“First they threatened to suspend me for three days, but there was no way I could miss school for a dress-code issue.”

—Jacklyn Lipovsek, student,
Brookfield East High School,
Milwaukee, Wisconsin

seriously confront and discuss issues of racism and cultural conflict.

2. “Safe corridor” programs should be supported to protect the safety of students as they go to and from school.
3. School entrances should be secured.
4. More extracurricular activities and clubs should be established.
5. Open-mike assemblies should be held on a regular basis to give students the opportunity to express themselves.
6. Programs to help students find part-time jobs should be established.
7. Conflict resolution techniques should be taught. (Siegel 1996)

Siegel went on to write that debate over uniforms is a diversion and that resources should be directed toward creating more attractive, clean, and safe school buildings; smaller classes; well-stocked libraries; new computers; and more elective courses such as music and art.

Basic Freedoms at Risk

Opponents argue that restrictive dress codes and uniform policies suppress students’ freedom of self-expression, not to mention their spirit of creativity and individualism. There are too many clones in the adult world already, they contend. Some believe that school uniforms are analogous to prison uniforms and cause students to feel entrapped. They also point to the loss of freedom of parents. Schools that im-

pose dress codes or uniform policies dictate to parents how they should raise their children.

When asked, “What is the harm in dress codes?” on a CNN.com chat room, Nadine Strossen, then president of the ACLU, answered:

The harms are many. From a free speech point of view, they prevent students from expressing themselves, either directly, such as through a tee-shirt [*sic*] that contains a message, or indirectly, by conveying attitudes through apparel. In addition, dress codes violate parents’ rights to make basic decisions about the upbringing of their children. Finally, as courts have agreed with us in many cases, dress codes violate religious beliefs and freedoms of particular parents and students. (Strossen in CNN.com 2001b)

As Lumsden (2001) reported, several legal challenges to dress codes and uniform policies have asserted that students’ freedom to choose what to wear to school is, indeed, a form a self-expression that schools have no right to infringe upon. (See “Dress Codes and Case Law” on page 11.)

Opponents also point to a lack of conclusive evidence that dress codes have had a positive effect on behavior and academic performance. “All we have are self-serving, anecdotal reports from particular schools that have pro-

VIEWPOINT

“The assistant principals won’t listen. They yell, ‘Just do it! Do it now!’”

—Damir Abdurahamovic, student,
Hillcrest High School, Dallas,
Texas

moted dress codes and are, not surprisingly, trying to justify them” (Strossen in CNN.com 2001b).

Finally, foes of uniforms note that virtually all uniform policies are in place in elementary and middle schools, even though uniforms are promoted as a means to halt violence among older teens. Few attempts have been made to implement uniform policies in public high schools because they would almost certainly be met with resistance from students approaching adulthood and determined to make their own decisions. Even dress codes in public high schools are not always readily accepted, as the students of Brookfield East High School recently demonstrated.

VIEWPOINT

“Aside from reading and writing, public schools are supposed to be teaching democracy. Uniforms are antithetical to teaching people how to make choices.”

—Stefan Presser, legal director, American Civil Liberties Union of Pennsylvania

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



10

Research Findings: Uncertain Results

Empirical research on the effects of dress codes and uniforms offers little guidance.

So far, research on the effects of dress codes and school-uniform policies is inconclusive and mixed. No clear trends have emerged. Some researchers claim positive effects, and others claim no effects or, at best, perceived effects.

Following is a summary of some of the major studies on dress codes and uniform policies. The studies are presented in chronological order.

- A 1995 study, "Violence and Other Antisocial Behaviors in Public Schools: Can Dress Codes Help Solve the Problem?" (by Lillian Holloman, in White 2000), investigated the effectiveness of dress codes. The study identified the problems that students can get into because of their

clothes and found that gang-related clothing, worn intentionally or unintentionally, can invite violence. Also, status clothing such as team jackets and designer sneakers can lead to theft and violence (in White 2000).

- In 1995, a year after implementing the first mandatory districtwide uniform policy in the country, the Long Beach Unified School District collected empirical data on the effects of uniforms on the school environment. The findings suggested that the district's schools were safer; however, the findings did not offer clear evidence that uniforms decreased violence (Wilson 1999).

- A 1996 study titled "School Uniforms and Safety" found that school uniforms "reduce the emphasis on fashion wars and reinforce the acceptability of more practical, less costly school clothing." The researcher, M. Sue Stanley, also concluded that uniforms may have a positive effect on school safety, and, because they are a low-cost intervention unlikely to do harm, are worth considering (in White 2000).

- A 1997 study, "Effects of Student Uniforms on Attendance, Behavior Problems, Substance Use and Academic

Achievement," empirically tested claims made by uniform advocates, using tenth-grade data from the "National Educational Longitudinal Study of 1988." Researchers David Brunnsma and Kerry Rockquemore succinctly stated: "Our findings indicate

that student uniforms have no direct effect on substance use, behavioral problems or attendance" (Brunnsma and Rockquemore 1998).

- In 1997, Sharon Shamburger Pate investigated two Florida school districts with

Recommendations for Researchers

"Lack of empirical evidence supporting school uniforms does not mean that school uniforms do not work" (King 1998). King made the following recommendations for researchers examining the effectiveness of school uniforms in preventing or reducing school violence:

- Focus on the means to adequately evaluate the effect of uniform policies on school violence.
- Conduct studies that investigate parents', teachers', and students' perceptions of uniforms and violence prevention.
- Apply trend analyses to determine if any decline in violence represents true change or predictable change.
- Be sure studies specifically control for possible intervening variables associated with violence reduction to determine cause-and-effect relationships between uniforms and reduced violence.
- Compare the prevalence of violence in schools that have uniform policies with schools that have dress codes.
- Gather data from experimental groups (subjects required to wear uniforms) and control groups (subjects not required to wear uniforms).
- Examine how schools that require uniforms address the issue of providing uniforms for families that may not be able to afford them. (King 1998)

VIEWPOINT

"I have never seen any study that showed a connection between style of dress and academic achievement."

—Pedro Aoguera,
professor of education,
University of California
at Berkeley

VIEWPOINT

“Everyone I’ve talked to who has gone to uniforms likes it, felt it’s increased discipline and respect, and will even claim achievements have gotten a little better because kids are more focused on their studies.”

—Paul Houston, executive director, American Association of School Administrators

mandatory uniform policies. In “The Influence of a Mandatory School Uniform Policy,” she reported mixed results: a significant improvement in academic achievement in elementary-school students and no significant decrease in discipline infractions among middle-school students (Pate 1999).

VIEWPOINT

“With [uniforms] schools have fewer reasons to call the police. There’s less conflict among students. Students concentrate more on education, not on who’s wearing \$100 shoes or gang attire.”

—William Ellis, police chief, Long Beach, California

• A 1997 policy study, “School Violence Prevention: Strategies To Keep Schools Safe,” conducted by the Reason Public Policy Institute, examined how uniform policies fit into a school district’s overall safety and reform program. Researchers Alexander Volokh and Lisa Snell concluded that no single strategy to curb school violence and promote reform will work. Each district, each school is unique. The ideal violence-prevention policy will differ from school to school (in White 2000).

• In 1999, a report titled “Evaluation of School Uniform Policy at John Adams and Truman Middle Schools for Albuquerque Public Schools,” by Deborah Elder, concluded: “The uniform policy is one of several changes that have occurred in the two schools. While the impetus for positive change in the climate and academic focus on the school includes the uniform policy, the changes cannot be attributable *solely* [author’s italics] to the uniform policy. However, positive changes have indeed occurred, and the uniform policy is one of several variables that have caused the changes” (Elder 1999).

• In 2000, Lands’ End, the clothing retailer, in partnership with the National Association of Elementary School Principals, commissioned a telephone survey of principals that netted 755 responses. About one-fifth (21 percent) of the principals said their schools either have a school-uniform policy in place, are preparing one, or have one on their agenda for discussion. According to the principals surveyed, school-uniform policies had a positive effect on the following areas: image in the community (84 percent of principals surveyed); classroom discipline (79 percent); peer pressure (76 percent); school spirit (72 percent); concentration on schoolwork (67 percent); and school safety (62 percent) (NAESP 2000).

• In 2000, a survey by French Toast, a uniform manufacturer, reported that in New York City a year after dress codes were implemented, 68 percent of parents thought that uniforms helped improve the overall academic performance; 84 percent of parents said uniforms promoted equality between the sexes; 89 percent of guidance counselors thought that uniforms helped prepare students for an eventual work environment; and 59 percent of guidance counselors said the uniform policy created a safer learning environment (BlueSuitMom.com 2000).

Conclusion

Many educators and researchers point out that, though there may be declines in school violence and improvements in academic performance, it is difficult to attribute such results to dress codes or uniform policies. Other reforms are often enacted at the same time

VIEWPOINT

“There is absolutely no evidence documenting even a correlation between dress codes and better test scores, let alone a causal connection.”

—Nadine Strossen, president, American Civil Liberties Union

that dress codes or uniform policies are implemented. “Because no long-term empirical studies have been conducted to assess the effectiveness of school uniforms or specific dress codes, the results remain anecdotal and unproven” (Morris and Wells 2000).

Almost everyone, however, agrees that more studies are needed.

PR

Dress Codes and Case Law

When administrators turn to the body of existing case law for guidance in matters pertaining to student dress, they may be disappointed to discover that the legal decisions do not clearly specify what is proscribed and what is prescribed.

The social and political winds have shifted since the first student-expression case was heard by the U.S. Supreme Court more than thirty years ago. These changes have often been reflected in the philosophical position of the courts. According to Majestic and colleagues (1995), in general there has been an "increasingly deferential stance of the courts to the authority of schools in more recent years" compared with the more liberal climate of the 1960s and the "judicial confusion" of the 1970s.

Although several student-expression cases have been decided by the U.S. Supreme Court, to date, no dress-code case has been heard by the High Court. In the area of student expression generally, however, the recent trend has been toward "reducing the rights of students and expanding the discretion of school authorities" (DeMitchell, Fossey, and Cobb 2000).

When school officials begin to delve into the area of student dress, they invariably encounter two basic issues that may be on a collision course: maintaining a safe and effective educational environment and respecting students' constitutional rights. A review of several court decisions in the area of student expression and dress may be useful in raising awareness of issues that should be considered before any policy changes are made.

Four Important Cases

1. *Tinker v. Des Moines Independent School District*

Tinker v. Des Moines Independent School District was a landmark case concerning student expression litigated by the U.S. Supreme Court in 1969. Before *Tinker*, students were not necessarily assumed to possess basic constitutional rights in the school setting. The assumption was that public-school personnel possessed "parental prerogatives" and were entitled to limit students' rights as they saw fit (LaMorte 1999). In *Tinker*, the court clearly proclaimed that students do not "shed their

constitutional rights to freedom of speech or expression at the school-house gate."

At issue in *Tinker v. Des Moines Independent School District* was whether students had the right to wear black armbands to school as a statement of protest against U.S. policy in Vietnam. The court concluded that student expression is protected unless it could be shown that a particular behavior would "materially and substantially interfere with the requirements of appropriate discipline in the operation of the school" or "collide with the rights of others" (DeMitchell and others 2000).

In *Tinker*, the court upheld students' right to expression of a social, political, or economic nature, yet it also acknowledged the right of school administrators to set rules and establish behavioral guidelines for students. In addition, justices explicitly stated that the case did not pertain to the issue of student dress or appearance:

The problem posed by the present case does not relate to regulation of the length of skirts or the type of clothing, to hairstyle, or deportment.... Our problem involves direct, primary First Amendment rights akin to "pure speech." (DeMitchell and others 2000)

In the wake of *Tinker*, students became increasingly confident concerning their newfound rights and began stretching their constitutionally protected wings. With *Tinker* as a backdrop, throughout the 1970s and the first half of the 1980s "active and lively

litigation" ensued in the area of student expression. According to LaMorte, the cases decided during this period

did little to dispel fears that the *Tinker* court had bestowed upon students unbridled license to behave as they pleased. Several federal courts, relying on the *Tinker* decision, upheld forms of

Some Practical Advice

Benjamin Dowling-Sendor, an authority on school law and an assistant appellate defender in North Carolina, offered this counsel to school boards wishing to adopt a school-uniform policy:

- Solicit input from parents and students.
- Research the experiences of other school districts with uniform policies.
- Articulate the interests they wish to promote through uniform policies.
- Report on that process in a concise, written public statement by the board before you have to do so in court.

SOURCE: Adapted from Dowling-Sendor (2002)

“It is very important for our public schools to respect the variety of different beliefs. When a school policy, which has nothing to do with an important education function, is allowed to override a child’s religion, then basically the child is being denied an education.”

— Deborah Ross, executive director, North Carolina American Civil Liberties Union

student expression that many parents and school authorities considered inappropriate. (LaMorte 1999)

During the latter part of the 1980s, however, the pendulum began to shift. Supreme Court rulings in *Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser* and *Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier*, in particular, expanded school administrators’ rights, giving them greater latitude in regulating student expression.

2. Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser

In 1986, the U.S. Supreme Court decided another case that would have a significant impact on students’ rights of expression in the school setting. In *Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser*, the court reversed a lower court ruling and upheld the right of school officials to “sanction a high school student for using lewd, vulgar, or offensive sexual metaphors during a political speech at a school assembly” (DeMitchell and others 2000).

In its decision, the court noted that part of the role of public education is to develop in students “the habits and manners of civility.” The court stated that “the undoubted freedom to advo-

cate unpopular and controversial views in schools and classrooms must be balanced against the society’s countervailing interest in teaching students the boundaries of socially appropriate behavior.”

Whereas *Tinker* ruled that student expression could be prohibited only when it collided with the rights of others, *Bethel v. Fraser* “eased the standard in that the sensibilities of others must be taken into account when viewing the propriety of student speech.” The court held that “the determination of what manner of speech in the classroom or in a school assembly is inappropriate properly rests with the school board.”

3. Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier

In 1988, the principal of Hazelwood East High School outside St. Louis, Missouri, removed from the student newspaper two student-written articles that he found objectionable. The articles on teen pregnancy and the impact of divorce on students were in a special teen-issue section of the newspaper. Members of the student staff sued.

The U.S District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri held that students’ First Amendment rights were not violated. The students appealed to the U.S. Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, which reversed the district court’s decision, taking its cue from the *Tinker v. Des Moines* decision. On appeal to the Supreme Court, a majority of justices reversed the Court of Appeals

decision, applying a “forum analysis” and holding that the school as a “closed forum” had the right to exercise reasonable control of the school-sponsored newspaper produced as part of a class.

4. Canady v. Bossier Parish School Board

Canady v. Bossier Parish School Board, a case concerning the constitutionality of student uniforms, was initially heard in district court and subsequently appealed to the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals. In 1997, the Louisiana Legislature passed a law allowing local school boards to mandate student uniforms. As a result, during the 1998-99 school year, the Bossier Parish School Board implemented a mandatory uniform policy on a trial basis in sixteen of its thirty-four schools. The goal was to determine whether uniforms had a positive effect on behavior and academic performance.

According to district personnel, test scores improved and disciplinary problems such as fights declined following implementation of the trial uniform policy. The following year the policy was expanded to include all schools and all grade levels. The policy did not include an opt-out provision.

Subsequently, some parents in the affected schools challenged the uniform requirement, “arguing that uniforms stifle individual expression, do not improve the learning environment, are expensive, and violate religious rights” (National School Boards Association 2001). When a district court

Factors Affecting Dress-Code Challenges

According to Mary Julia Kuhn, writing in *Journal of Law and Education*, legal battles over school dress codes are endemic. The outcome of these battles often depends on five key factors:

- How the issue is characterized.
- What specific words are used in the dress code.
- The geographical area of the conflict.
- The liberal or conservative trends of the U.S. Supreme Court, combined with the social and political climate of the country.
- The level of judicial activism of the court.

SOURCE: Adapted from Kuhn (1996)

The choice to wear clothing as a symbol of an opinion or cause is undoubtedly protected under the First Amendment if the message is likely to be understood by those intended to view it.”

—Robert M. Parker, Judge, Fifth U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals

heard the case, it found the policy did not violate students’ free-speech rights. When the decision was appealed, the Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld the lower court.

In writing the court’s opinion, Judge Robert M. Parker acknowledged that students have a constitutional right to free expression under the First and Fourteenth Amendments, and a person’s choice of clothing can be a constitutionally protected form of expression. However, Judge Parker explained, the right to free speech is not absolute. Courts have concluded in many cases that sometimes school boards’ regulation of student behavior outweighs individual students’ right to free speech.

The court applied a four-step test to determine constitutionality.

Under that test, a uniform policy passes constitutional muster if

- The school board has the power to make such a policy.
- The policy promotes a substantial interest of the board.
- The board does not adopt the policy to censor student expression.
- The policy’s “incidental” restrictions on student expression are not greater than necessary to promote the board’s interest. (Dowling-Sendor 2002)

PR

Dress Codes and School-Uniform Policies, State by State

No state to date has legislatively mandated the wearing of school uniforms.

Following is a roundup of legislation collected from responses to queries submitted to state department of education personnel and/or gleaned from each state’s website. If your state is not listed, its omission does not necessarily mean it has no legislation pertaining to student dress. Consult your local authorities.

For more complete information about legislation in your state, contact officials at your state’s education department. You can begin your search at www.50states.com.

ERIC/CEM personnel polled officials in all fifty states for information on statutes regarding dress codes and uniforms. We also searched the statutes posted on each state’s website. Key features of the statutes we located are summarized in the following list.

Although most dress codes and uniform policies are developed at the district or school level, twenty-eight states and the District of Columbia have enacted laws—with varying degrees of detail and interpretation—that address school dress. Some state statutes affect only dress codes with no mention of uniforms; others address dress codes and uniform policies separately; others include uniforms within dress codes.

Arizona

Uniforms: District boards have the discretionary power to require students to wear uniforms.

Arkansas

Dress Codes: District boards may, if they choose, form a parent/student advisory committee to determine whether a student dress code should be enacted. If so, the issue will be brought to the voters in the district for approval.

California

Dress Codes: Districts may establish a reasonable dress code, as part of their school safety plan, that prohibits pupils from wearing gang-related apparel.

Uniforms: Districts may also require students to wear uniforms. Parents must be informed six months before the uniform policy takes effect. The policy must provide an opt-out clause and ensure that no student will undergo sanctions for not participating.

Colorado

Dress Codes: Local boards may establish dress codes that require minimum standards of dress.

Uniforms: Local boards may require students to wear school uniforms.

Connecticut

Uniforms: Local or regional boards may adopt a school-uniform policy.

Delaware

Dress Codes/Uniforms: District boards have the authority to create and enforce a dress-code program, which may include school uniforms. The program must ensure that uniforms are offered at an affordable price and include a plan to assist economically disadvantaged students in obtaining uniforms.

District of Columbia

Dress Codes/Uniforms: Each superintendent’s long-term reform plan must describe how the district will develop and implement a dress code, which may include uniforms. The dress code must include a prohibition of gang membership symbols and take into account the relative costs of any such code for each student.

Florida

Dress Codes/Uniforms: School boards may require uniforms to be worn by students or impose other dress-related requirements if the boards find those requirements are necessary for the safety and welfare of students or school personnel.

Illinois

Dress Codes/Uniforms: When “necessary to maintain the orderly process of a school function or prevent endangerment of student health or safety,” school boards may establish uniform policies for all or some schools under their jurisdiction. The policy must allow a grace period, during which students who have not purchased uniforms or dress-code compliant clothes will not be disciplined. The policy must also make provisions to accommodate economically disadvantaged students and allow for parental opt-out on religious grounds.

Indiana

Dress Codes: The governing body of a school corporation must establish written discipline rules, which may include dress codes.

Iowa

Dress Codes: District boards may establish a dress code districtwide, or individual schools may do so, that bans gang-related or other specified apparel if the board determines that the code is necessary for the health and safety of students and staff or for the appropriate discipline and operation of the school.

Kansas

Dress Codes: In the section of the law that details grounds for which a student may be suspended or expelled, school boards have the authority to establish dress codes.

Louisiana

Dress Codes/Uniforms: Local school boards may establish a dress code that includes uniforms. The policy must contain an opt-out provision and require no expenditure of school or school board funds.

Maryland

Uniforms: The school board has the authority to implement a uniform policy in the public schools in Prince George’s County.

Massachusetts

Dress Codes: By law, school officials may not “abridge the rights of students as to personal dress and appearance” by imposing dress codes unless personal dress “violates reasonable standards of health, safety, and cleanliness.”

Minnesota

Uniforms: Under the statute governing authorized fees, the law says that if a school board adopts a uniform policy, students are required to purchase a school uniform. The board must promote student, staff, parent, and community involvement in the program and “account for the financial ability of students to purchase uniforms.”

Missouri

Dress Codes/Uniforms: Public school districts may determine whether a dress-code policy that requires students to wear a school uniform is appropriate for any school within the district. If so, the district may determine the style of the uniform.

Nevada

Dress Codes: School boards may adopt a dress code for teachers and staff within their districts.

Uniforms: Boards may, “in consultation with the schools within the district, parents and legal guardians of pupils who are enrolled in the district, and associations and organizations representing licensed educational personnel within the district,” establish a uniform policy. The policy must include a description of the uniform and specify which students must wear a uniform and when they must wear it. Boards must help parents or guardians who request financial assistance to purchase uniforms.

New Hampshire

Dress Codes: The law states: “While a school board does have power to adopt reasonable restrictions on dress as part of its educational policy and as an educational device, the school board’s power must be limited to that required by its function of administering public education.”

New Jersey

Dress Codes/Uniforms: On the request of the principal, staff, and parents of students at a school, the local board may enact a dress code that includes uniforms, provided the board finds the policy will improve the “school learning environment.” The policy, when adopted after a public hearing, must give parents three months’ notice and include a provision to assist economically disadvantaged families. The principal, staff, and parents of students at individual schools

will choose the uniform. The board may choose to add a family opt-out clause, and students who opt out cannot be sanctioned academically or otherwise.

New York

Dress Codes: Currently, local school boards may set provisions regarding student dress deemed “appropriate and acceptable” and dress deemed “unacceptable and inappropriate” on school property. A bill introduced in the state assembly in 2002, currently held for consideration, directs the commissioner of education to adopt rules requiring all school districts to study and adopt dress codes.

North Carolina

Uniforms: The state board of education may authorize school-uniform pilot programs in as many as five local districts. The state board will develop guidelines for local boards to use when establishing uniform policies. The guidelines will recommend ways to involve parents and the community in the pilot programs, protect students’ rights, and consider the ability of students to purchase the uniforms. No state funds will be used for uniforms.

Ohio

Dress Codes/Uniforms: Local boards may adopt dress codes or uniform policies under the conditions that principals, staff, and parents are given the opportunity to offer suggestions and comments; parents receive six months’ notice before a specific uniform is required; a plan for helping low-income families obtain uniforms is part of the policy; and students who participate in a nationally recognized youth organization are allowed to wear that organization’s uniform on days that the organization has a scheduled activity.

Oregon

Uniforms: Local school boards in Oregon have the authority to impose uniform policies without state legislation.

Oklahoma

Dress Codes/Uniforms: Local boards have the option of adopting a dress code that includes school uniforms.

Pennsylvania

Dress Codes/Uniforms: Local boards have the option of adopting a dress code that includes school uniforms. Dress codes

and uniform policies may apply throughout a district or only to individual schools.

Tennessee

Uniforms: The state board of education will create guidelines for local boards that choose to adopt a uniform policy. The guidelines will require that uniforms be “simple, appropriate, readily available, and inexpensive.”

Texas

Uniforms: Each district board may adopt a school uniform policy. The policy must indicate where monies will come from for purchasing uniforms for economically disadvantaged families, provide an opt-out provision, and go into effect ninety days after the board adopts the rules.

Utah

Uniforms: Local boards may implement school-uniform policies after holding a public hearing. If 20 percent of parents of students sign a petition objecting to the policy and the petition is presented to the local board within 30 days of the adoption of the policy, an election must be held to consider revocation of the policy.

Virginia

Uniforms: The state board of education will develop guidelines for local boards to use when establishing uniform policies. The guidelines will suggest ways to involve parents and the community, protect students’ rights, and consider the ability of students to purchase the uniforms. No state funds will be used for uniforms.

Washington

Dress Codes/Uniforms: School district boards may establish dress codes that prohibit gang-related apparel and mandate uniforms. They may not prohibit students from wearing clothing in observance of their religion. If students are required to wear uniforms, school districts “shall accommodate students so that the uniform requirement is not an unfair barrier to school attendance and participation.” Students who participate in a nationally recognized youth organization must be allowed to wear that organization’s uniform on days that the organization has a scheduled activity.

PR

Guiding Principles When Drafting a Dress-Code Policy

Fortunately for policymakers considering a dress code or a school-uniform policy, others have already done the fitting and created the patterns.

Just like tailored clothes, tailored dress codes fit better and are more comfortable and stylish.

Each school is unique, and dress-related concerns vary from school to school, district to district.

One-size-fits-all dress codes solve nothing and usually end up annoying almost everyone.

Although one dress-code policy will not fit all schools, Christopher Gilbert offers some general principles applicable across schools that can assist administrators in formulating their own policies:

- School officials must adopt the *Tinker* standard. Experience shows that in most dress-code disputes, the courts are likely to find in favor of students unless facts exist that would reasonably lead school officials to anticipate considerable disruption of or interference with normal school activities.
- School officials may prohibit obscene, lewd, or vulgar clothing, but they must be careful when drafting policies that discriminate against speech on the basis of content.
- Administrators must be able to clearly explain why the dress-code policy was implemented and what prompted the specifics of the code.
- Although school officials frequently create dress codes to combat what they perceive as the presence of gangs on campus, they must not rely on vague antigang rhetoric to justify a dress code when the evidence does not bear out a threat from a gang presence.
- Restrictions aimed at gang-related attire must be drawn carefully to avoid being declared void because of vagueness.
- Students do not have a constitutional right to wear baggy pants.
- The courts appear more inclined to uphold rules aimed at elementary students than they do rules aimed at high-school students.

- The broader the ban, the more likely the courts are to uphold it. The fact that such broad categorical bans are usually passed before any problems arise demonstrates that the school was not singling out any particular students.

SOURCE: Adapted from Gilbert (1999)

Guidelines for Policymakers I

Nathan Essex (2000) offers the following ten guidelines to assist policymakers in developing reasonable dress-code and school-uniform policies.

Above all else, it is essential to involve parents, teachers, community leaders, and students. As with most school-based issues, involving all constituents is wise. If a committee consisting of all segments of the community is established and policy developed around a core of consensus, fewer objections will likely be raised later on.

1. *Make certain that the policy does not restrict religious expression.* Various forms of religious expression such as wearing a head covering or a cross should be respected as long as students' expression of their religious convictions does not infringe upon the rights of others.
2. *Provide as much flexibility as possible.* If student uniforms are adopted, schools must decide

whether the policy is mandatory or optional. In schools where incidents of violence or gang activity are common, a mandatory uniform policy may be defensible. Otherwise, the policy should include an opt-out provision.

3. *Protect students' rights to freedom of expression.* Students should be allowed to wear symbols that express their political or personal views on issues as long as their expression does not create a "material and substantial disruption to the educational process." However, since the courts agreed in *Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser* that part of the school's role is to teach civility and instill students with socially accepted values, schools are within their rights to adopt dress codes that prohibit students from wearing clothing that displays vulgar, pornographic, or racist messages; advocates discrimination or violence; or promotes the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other drugs.
4. *Provide assistance for economically disadvantaged students.* School officials should ensure that acquiring uniforms or complying with dress-code requirements will not place an undue hardship on low-income families. Some schools arrange for uniform manufacturers to provide vouchers for students whose families cannot afford them; others raise funds or secure a grant to help; and others have instituted hand-me-down or swap programs.
5. *Implement a pilot program where feasible.* Implementing a dress-code

VIEWPOINT

Elementary schools are a lot easier to implement uniforms in than in the older grades. Seventh and eighth graders are a lot more resistant.”

—Ray Rivera, principal, Eastwood Knolls School, El Paso, Texas

policy on a limited trial basis such as in a single grade or a single school within a district may reveal unforeseen problems, provide a more accurate indication of the level of support, pave the way for a gradual phasing-in, or even demonstrate that a change in policy is unnecessary. A pilot program also provides school officials with an opportunity to further educate parents and students about exactly how the new policy will be put into effect.

and is voluntary rather than mandatory, students should not be harassed, ostracized, or penalized for choosing not to participate.

7. *Implement a dress code as a component of a school-safety program.* Obviously, issues that create unsafe schools will not magically vanish simply because school officials decide that students must change what they wear to school. A change in dress codes should be viewed as one element in an overall safety program.

6. *Be prepared to enforce the dress code.* Administrators must ensure that any student-uniform policy or restrictive dress code is applied consistently and fairly. Consequences for failure to adhere to the adopted policy should be consistent as well. If a uniform policy has an opt-out provision

VIEWPOINT

If it means that teenagers will stop killing each other over designer jackets, then our public schools should be allowed to require the students to wear school uniforms.”

—President William Clinton, State of the Union Address, January 23, 1996

8. *Be sure the policy passes legal review.* Before any new policy is adopted, a draft needs to be carefully examined by the school's or district's attorneys to make certain it is clear, appropriate, and able to withstand any potential legal challenges. If re-

Sample Dress Code

Here, drawn from a variety of sources, is text of a sample dress code.

1. You have a right to choose your own style of dress and personal appearance, as long as it does not interfere with the educational process or academic decorum or endanger student health and safety or cause undue maintenance problems for the school.
2. You are encouraged to “dress for success” as mature young adults and to make clothing choices that are consistent with our school's mission and the learning and social environment that the administration strives to provide for all students.
3. A dress code will be implemented, beginning January 2003, for the following reasons:
 - a. To ensure the safety, health, and well-being of all students and staff.
 - b. To further the school's mission: to provide a positive learning environment that prepares students for the world of work, family, and personal advancement and fulfillment.
 - c. To ensure that clothing and personal appearance do not distract others from the pursuit of their academic goals.
 - d. To discourage the endorsement of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs, and disruptive behaviors.
 - e. To respect the personal beliefs and religious rights and freedoms of all students and staff.
4. Student dress is a concern between the student and parents. Dress is a reflection of how one feels about himself/herself. Students must dress appropriately. In order to provide an atmosphere conducive to learning, all students will follow the regulations below:
 - a. Students may not wear clothing with writing, slogans, pictures, or symbols that depict alcohol, tobacco, or drugs (or their manufacturers).
 - b. Students may not wear clothing with writing, slogans, pictures, or symbols that depict obsceni-

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

18

The company that we purchase our uniforms from provides coupons for children who are unable to afford uniforms. Plus, our community is so close-knit that our parents have an informal clothing bank. We don't have enough room at the school to house one, but the parents communicate with each other, and no one is left out."

—Marie Goodrum, principal, Pinewood Elementary, North Lauderdale, Florida

visions in the policy are needed, a final review should occur after the changes have been incorporated.

9. *Be prepared to review and revise the policy as the need arises.* If issues or conditions arise that are not directly addressed by the policy, a committee consisting of teachers, parents, students, and community leaders should

meet to decide whether modifications are warranted. The committee should also engage in periodical assessments of the policy to determine if it is meeting its intended purpose.

SOURCE: Adapted from Essex (2001)

Guidelines for Policymakers II

James Van Patten and Jerry Siegrist, following their research, suggest that a school-uniform policy ideally should:

- Set reasonable dress rules.
- Allow alternative means of expression.
- Give students an opportunity to transfer to other schools.
- Set up a specific discipline procedure and phase-in period to give students time to adjust to the policy.
- Consider a voluntary policy or an opt-out provision.
- Assist families who cannot afford uniforms.
- Allow dress-down days.

SOURCE: Adapted from Van Patten and Siegrist (2000)

ties, vulgarity, racism, mutilation of humans or animals, sex, or gang affiliation.

c. Students may not wear immodest clothing, which includes clothing that exposes undergarments or inappropriately exposes the body (bare midriffs are discouraged).

d. Students may not wear beach or swimming attire, or clothing generally considered sleeping attire.

e. Students may not wear pants in a sagging manner (below the hips). Pants shall not be ripped nor extend below the heel of the shoe.

f. Students may not wear or carry hats, caps, head wraps, scarves, bandanas, or other head apparel during the school

day, unless that head apparel is part of a school's athletic team's uniform or part of a student's customary religious attire.

g. Students may not wear chains on wallets or belts, or carry cell phones, as these may pose a danger.

h. Students shall wear shoes at all times.

i. Students may not wear sunglasses in class.

5. Violations of the dress code will result in the following:

a. First violation: a verbal warning.

b. Second violation: a written warning requiring a reply from student's parent or guardian.

c. Third violation: student will be required to return

home and change into appropriate attire.

d. Fourth and subsequent violations: suspension, the duration to be determined by the severity of the infraction and the student's willingness to cooperate with school officials and adhere to the standards of the dress code.

6. Violations of this dress code shall be treated as disruptive behavior in violation of the Student Code of Conduct. However, dress-code violations shall not carry over on the student's discipline record to subsequent years. This dress code shall apply to students at all times when they attend school or any school-sponsored event.

Guidelines for Policymakers III

Elizabeth Garcia and Max Madrid suggest that schools adhere to the following guidelines when creating dress codes or school-uniform policies:

- Policy should focus on pedagogical and school-safety concerns.
- Determine whether parents support a school dress code. Seek parents' input on design of uniforms.
- Consider including justifications in dress-code policy, such as decreasing criminal activity, curbing gang activity, monitoring intruders.
- Determine whether to have a mandatory or voluntary uniform policy.
- Allow some variety and flexibility in the dress code or uniform policy.
- Be able to justify the action by demonstrating the link between a certain kind of dress and disruptive behavior.
- When proscribing general types of clothing, don't refer to specific brands of clothing.
- Provide students with ample notice of the dress code or uniform policy.
- Protect students' other rights of expression.
- Consider financial assistance to students unable to afford school uniforms.
- Do not require students to wear a particular message on a school uniform.
- Make the dress code flexible to accommodate students whose religious attire may be inconsistent with the school's dress code.
- Uniforms should be gender-neutral. For example, a school should offer the option of a skirt or pants to females.
- Apply the dress code or uniform policy impartially and consistently.
- Strive to gather empirical evidence such as a reduction in violent incidents and student-discipline reports to establish the effectiveness of a dress code or a uniform policy.
- Consult the school attorney.
- Update the dress code on a consistent basis.

SOURCE: Adapted from Garcia and Madrid (1999)

Conclusion

When they are justified by a school's circumstances, wisely conceived in collaboration with the community, and coupled with other appropriate interventions, dress codes and school-uniform policies may positively influence school climate, student behavior, and academic success. However, it is critical to keep such policies in proper perspective and avoid overestimating or exaggerating their potential benefits.

Forest (1997) contends that instituting student uniforms to stop violence is akin to applying "a bandage on an enormous wound, instead of attempting to

"Dress code disputes are alive and well, and are not likely to disappear any time soon. . . . Drafting a successful dress code is both an art and a science. . . . The keys to a successful dress code policy are common sense, the ability to compromise, and the desire of all parties involved to work through their differences."
—Gilbert (1999)

find ways of truly dealing with the bleeding." Lane, Richardson, and Van Berkum (1996) warn that "any belief that schools can control society's problems by regulating what students wear or how they wear it, is hopeful at best, and hopelessly simplistic at worst."

Above all, according to researchers and experienced administrators, any dress code or uniform policy must be justifiable, reasonable, and manageable. The challenge for school officials is to develop dress codes and uniform policies that respond to the needs of their district or school without being unduly restrictive. Forcing

dress codes or uniforms without the cooperation of the whole school community only invites problems.

Yet, in spite of the best intentions and input of school board members, parents, and even students, the onus for implementing and enforcing a dress code or uniform policy ultimately falls on principals and teachers. Principals and teachers must continually work to balance school safety and academic achievement with students' rights and individualism, not an easy task. And adding the job of "fashion police" to teachers' already heavy workloads is bound to put a strain on individual teachers, schools, and even districts.

With more empirical research will come more enlightened dress-code policies; with more successes will come more cooperation. And in time, who knows? Dress codes and uniforms may become *de rigueur*, or they may become outmoded and fade away like last year's fashions.

PR

PR

BEST COPY AVAILABLE 20

Bibliography

Many items in this bibliography are indexed in ERIC, the nationwide information network sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education that is designed to provide ready access to education literature. Each document in the ERIC database has an "ED" (ERIC Document) designation and a unique six-digit document-specific number. Each journal article in the database has an "EJ" (ERIC Journal) designation and a six-digit number that identifies that specific article.

Most of the documents with an "ED" prefix can be ordered from the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS) in electronic (Adobe PDF), paper, or microfiche format. (Items with an "EJ" designation cannot be ordered through EDRS; patrons must access the journal in which the article originally appeared.) Orders can be placed over the EDRS website or via phone, fax, or mail. Specify the ED number, type of reproduction desired, and number of copies. To contact EDRS by phone, call 1-800-443-3742. To place an order from the EDRS website, go to <http://www.edrs.com>

- Authors Unknown. "A Modest List of Debating Points About Mandatory Public School Uniforms." Site for Opposition to Mandatory Public School Uniforms, n.d. <http://schooluniforms-not.org/debates.html>
- BlueSuitMom.com. "Public School Dress Codes Growing More Popular." August 2000. <http://www.bluesuitmom.com/family/education/schooluniforms.html>
- Brunsmas, David L., and Kerry A. Rockquemont. "The Effects of Student Uniforms on Attendance, Behavior Problems, Substance Use, and Academic Achievement." *The Journal of Educational Research* 92, 1 (September/October 1998): 53-62. EJ 576 443.
- Clarksville-Montgomery County School System. "Dress Code Update." September 26, 2001. <http://admin.cmcss.net/DressCode/dresscode.html>
- CNN.com. "Nadine Strossen: Why the ACLU Opposes School Dress Codes." Telephone interview, August 28, 2001a. <http://www.cnn.com/2001/COMMUNITY/08/28/strossen.cnn.a/>
- _____. "Principal John Brucato: Why Our School Uses a Dress Code." Telephone interview, August 28, 2001b. <http://www.cnn.com/2001/COMMUNITY/08/28/brucato.cnn.a/index.html>
- Coles, Adrienne. "N.Y.C. Joins Growing List of Districts Dressing the Same." *Education Week on the Web* 19, 13 (November 24, 1999): 6. <http://www.edweek.org/ew/newstory.cfm?slug=13dress.h19>
- Daugherty, Richard. "School Uniforms: Can Voluntary Programs Work? Experimenting in a At-Risk School." Paper presented at Education Law Association 47th Annual Conference, Albuquerque, New Mexico, November 15-17, 2001. ED 461 181.
- DeMitchell, Todd A.; Richard Fossey; and Casey Cobb. "Dress Codes in the Public Schools: Principals, Policies, and Precepts." *Journal of Law and Education* 29, 1 (January 2000): 31-49. EJ 606 474.
- Dowling-Sendor, Benjamin. "A Question of Rights vs. Authority." *American School Board Journal* 188, 7 (July 2001): 38-39. EJ 630 849.
- _____. "Disagreeing over Dress: Can Schools Restrict Student Expression because It Conflicts with the Values They Want to Instill?" *American School Board Journal* 188, 1 (January 2001): 16-17, 55. EJ 621 296.
- _____. "School Uniforms Redux." *American School Board Journal* 189, 3 (March 2002): 38-39, 47. EJ 642 944.
- Education Commission of the States. "School Uniforms and Dress Codes: State Policies." ECS *StateNotes*, 2001. <http://www.ecs.org/clearinghouse/27/09/2709.doc>
- Education Week*. "School Uniforms." *Education Week on the Web* Issues Index, 2001. <http://www.edweek.org/context/topics/issuepage.cfm?id=38>
- Elder, Deborah, L. "Evaluation of School Uniform Policy at John Adams and Truman Middle Schools for Albuquerque Public Schools." Albuquerque, New Mexico: Albuquerque Public Schools, Department of Research, Development, and Accountability, February 1999. 37 pages. ED 453 580.
- Essex, Nathan L. "School Uniforms: Guidelines for Principals." *Principal* 80, 3 (January 2001): 38-39. EJ 619 650.
- Forest, Stephanie. "Dressed to Drill." *Business Week* (September 8, 1997): 40.
- Garcia, Elizabeth A., and Max J. Madrid. "Student Dress Codes: Constitutional Requirements and Policy Suggestions." Albuquerque, New Mexico: Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris, and Sisk, P.A., May 21, 1999. http://www.modrall.com/articles/article_13.html
- Gehl, Kate. "Dress Code Exposed: Brookfield East Enforcement Riles Students." *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel Online*. April 29, 2002. <http://www.jsonline.com/lifestyle/jump/apr02/38008.asp>
- Gilbert, Christopher B. "We Are What We Wear: Revisiting Student Dress Codes." *Brigham Young University Education and Law Journal* 1999, 2 (Summer 1999): 3-19. http://www.law2.byu.edu/jel/v1999_2.htm
- Harris Bowman, Darcia, and Kevin Bushweller. "Dress Codes Target Risque Styles." *Education Week on the Web* 21, 2 (September 12, 2001): 1, 18.
- Kahl, Kristi. "Are School Uniforms and Middle School Reform Compatible?" The Latest News from Reforming Middle Schools, April 1997. <http://www.middleweb.com/NEWSuniforms.html>
- King, Keith. "Should School Uniforms Be Mandated in Elementary Schools?" New Century School Issues Forum: School Uniforms, January 1998. <http://danenet.wicup.org/ncs/forumuniformseval.htm>
- Kuhn, Mary Julia. "Student Dress Codes in the Public Schools: Multiple Perspectives in the Courts and Schools on the Same Issues." *Journal of Law and Education* 25, 1 (Winter 1996): 83-106. EJ 527 561.
- LaMorte, Michael W. *School Law: Cases and Concepts*. Sixth Edition. Needham Heights, Massachusetts: Allyn and Bacon, 1999. 439 pages. ED 415 572.
- Lane, Kenneth E.; Michael D. Richardson; Dennis W. Van Berkum; and Stanley L. Swartz. "A Dilemma: Dress Codes, Safety, and Discrimination." In *The School Safety Handbook: Taking Action for Student and Staff Protection*, edited by Kenneth E. Lane; Michael D. Richardson; and Dennis W. Van Berkum. 83-91. Basel, Switzerland: Technomic Publishing Company, 1996. ED 421 746.
- Learning, Jeremy. "Public School Dress Code Challenged on Religious Liberty Grounds." The Freedom Forum, February 1, 1999. <http://www.freeforum.org/templates/document.asp?documentID=8553>
- Lumsden, Linda. "Uniforms and Dress-Code Policies." *ERIC Digest Series*. No. 148. May 2001. Eugene, Oregon: ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management, University of Oregon. 2 pages. ED 454 568. Full text available online at <http://eric.uoregon.edu>
- Majestic, Ann L., and Tharrington Smith. "Student Dress Codes in the 1990s." In *Legal Guidelines for Curbing School Violence*, edited by Jonathan A. Blumberg; Ruth T. Dowling; Janet L. Horton; Janet; Margaret-Ann F. Howie; Ann L. Majestic; Richard A. Schwartz; Brian C. Shaw; and Bruce W. Smith. Raleigh, North Carolina: National School Boards Association's Council of School Attorneys, 1995. 141 pages. ED 423 580.
- Marchant, Valerie. "Dress for Success." *TIME* 154, 11 (September 13, 1999). <http://www.time.com/time/archive/preview/0,10987,1101990913-30497,00.html>
- Michigan State University Extension, Children, Youth, and Families Program. "Examining the School Uniform Debate — Infringing on Your Kids' Rights or Focusing Your Child's Attention on Academics." School Uniforms Debate. East Lansing, Michigan: Author. <http://>

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

21

- www.msue.msu.edu/msue/programs/cyf/public_html/cindy/Revisedrevofflit.htm
- Morris, Bruce, and Donna Wells (Eds.). "Dress Codes and Uniforms." *Issue Briefing*. Richmond, Virginia: Virginia Commonwealth Educational Policy Institute, 2000. <http://www.ecs.org/ecsmain.asp?page=/search/default.asp>
- National Association of Elementary School Principals. "School Uniforms Why & How." *Principal Online*, 2001. <http://www.naesp.org/misc/uniforms.htm>
- _____. "Survey of School Principal Reports Positive Effects of School Uniforms." News Release, July 24, 2000. <http://www.naesp.org/comm/prss7-24-00.htm>
- National School Board Association. "Philadelphia Approves School Uniforms." *School Board News* (May 16, 2000). <http://www.nsba.org/sbn/00-may/051600-3.htm>
- Northrop, John. "Dress Codes and Social Chaos." *Education Week* 21, 18 (January 16, 2002): 36.
- Pate Shamburger, Sharon. "The Influence of a Mandatory School Uniform Policy." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Association for Career and Technical Education, Orlando, Florida, December 12-15, 1999. 13 pages. ED 458 695.
- Pedzich, Joan. "Student Dress Codes in Public Schools: A Selective Annotated Bibliography." *Law Library Journal* 94, 1 (2002-03): 41-57.
- Point Pleasant Borough High School. "Point Pleasant Borough High School Dress Code." Point Pleasant, New Jersey. <http://www.pointpleasant.k12.nj.us/highschool/Dress%20Code.htm>
- Siegel, Loren. "Point of View: School Uniforms." American Civil Liberties Union, 1996. <http://archive.aclu.org/congress/uniform.html>
- Simonson, Mary Ellen. School Uniforms: A Blueprint for Legal Challenges." *Inquiry and Analysis* (March 1998): 1-7. ED 426 488.
- Smolkin, Rachel. "Dress Codes in a Comeback." Scrips Howard News Service Education, 1999. <http://www.naplesnews.com/special/school99/d323415a.htm>
- Student Press Law Center. "Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeir." SPLC Law Library Cases, n.d. http://www.splc.org/law_library.asp?id=1
- Thomas, Karen. "High Schools to Teens: Put Some Clothes On." *USA Today* (August 30, 2001): 1-2D. <http://pqasb.pqarchiver.com/USAToday/>
- U.S. Department of Education. *Manual on School Uniforms*. Washington, D.C.: Author, 1996. 9 pages. ED 387 947. <http://www.ed.gov/>
- Van Patten, James, and Jerry Siegrist. "Developing a Common Faith and Ethic for School Safety." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, Louisiana, April 24-28, 2000. 15 pages. ED 446 358.
- Warner, R. Matthew. "The Problems with School Uniforms." *Legalis* (Fall 1997). <http://www.wabash.edu/bop/legalis/issues/1997/Fall/uniforms.html>
- Westside High School. "The Westside Code of Conduct." Omaha, Nebraska: Author, September 13, 2001. <http://whs.westside66.org/WHS/66/aboutwhs/code.html>
- White, Kerry A. "Do School Uniforms Fit?" *School Administrator* 57, 2 (February 2000): 36-40. EJ 599 101.
- Wills, Paul. "Should Public Schools Require Students to Wear Uniforms?" 2001. <http://www.thefence.com/article.asp?forumid=113>
- Wilson II, Richard E. "The Impact of School Uniform Dress Code on Principal Perception of School Violence." 1999. 9 pages. ED 449 546.

UNIVERSITY
OF OREGON



ERIC

Educational Resources Information Center

**Clearinghouse on
Educational Management**

5207 University of Oregon
Eugene, Oregon 97403-5207

(800) 438-8841

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



*U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)*



NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

- This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
- This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").